



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
90/005,471	08/13/1999		50246-069	1769

20277 7590 02/20/2002

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY
600 13TH STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 02/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

OFFICE



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

EX PARTE REEXAMINATION COMMUNICATION TRANSMITTAL FORM

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO. 90/005,471.

PATENT NO. 5598525 ET AL.

ART UNIT 2671.

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified *ex parte* reexamination proceeding (37 CFR 1.550(e)).

Where this copy is supplied after the reply by requester, 37 CFR 1.535, or the time for filing a reply has passed, no submission on behalf of the *ex parte* reexamination requester will be acknowledged or considered (37 CFR 1.550(e)).

Ex Parte Reexamination Interview Summary

Control No.

90/005,471

Patent Under Reexamination

5598525 ET AL.

Examiner

Ulka J. Chauhan

Art Unit

2671

All participants (USPTO personnel, patent owner, patent owner's representative):

(1) Ulka J. Chauhan

(3) _____

(2) David L. Stewart

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 February 2002

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ patent owner 2) ☒ patent owner's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Any other agreement(s) are set forth below under "Description of the general nature of what was agreed to..."

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
The rejection of claims 1-12 under 35 USC 112 first paragraph was discussed. The Applicant agreed to amend the specification on pg. 5 lines 65 after the word "address", to include the phrase "held in an address buffer". This amendment is believed to overcome 35 USC 112 rejection. Applicant is reminded of the requirement to submit the original patent or a statement as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patents before the reissue application is allowed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims patentable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims patentable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP § 2281). IF A RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION HAS ALREADY BEEN FILED, THEN PATENT OWNER IS GIVEN **ONE MONTH** FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO PROVIDE THE MANDATORY STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (37 CFR 1.560(b)). THE REQUIREMENT FOR PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT CAN NOT BE WAIVED. **EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c).**

cc: Requester (if third party requester)



Examiner's signature, if required

09374043-0444
PTO-474-0444